SECTION '2' – Applications meriting special consideration

Application No: 15/00923/FULL6 Ward:

Plaistow And Sundridge

Address: 18 Upper Park Road Bromley BR1 3HT

OS Grid Ref: E: 540998 N: 169772

Applicant: Mr Nicholas Bennett Objections: NO

Description of Development:

Part one/two storey side extension and roof extension incorporating rear dormer with juliet balcony and bin store at side

Key designations:

Biggin Hill Safeguarding Birds
Biggin Hill Safeguarding Area
Biggin Hill Safeguarding Area
Local Cycle Network
Local Cycle Network
London City Airport Safeguarding
London City Airport Safeguarding
London City Airport Safeguarding Birds
Open Space Deficiency
Smoke Control SCA 10

Proposal

Planning permission is sought for part one/two storey side extension and roof extension incorporating a rear dormer with Juliet balcony.

Location

The appeal property is a semi-detached property on the south east corner of Upper Park Road with Henville Road within a predominantly residential area. The surrounding area is mixed in terms of buildings, with individual houses and blocks of flats dating from different periods and of different styles. However, none of the buildings is individually dominant in the street scene.

Comments from Local Residents

Nearby owners/occupiers were notified of the application and no representations were received.

Comments from Consultees

No external or internal consultation required.

Planning Considerations

The application falls to be determined in accordance with the following policies of the Unitary Development Plan:

BE1 Design of New Development

H8 Residential Extensions

H9 Side Space

The following Council adopted SPG guidance is also a consideration:

Supplementary Planning Guidance 1 General Design Guidance Supplementary Planning Guidance 2 Residential Design Principles

The above policies are considered consistent with the objectives and principles of the NPPF.

Planning History

Ref. Number	Description	Status	Decision
Date			

01/03417/FULL1 Detached garage with room above REF 28.11.2001

96/02413/FUL FORMATION OF VEHICULAR ACCESS TO UPPER PARK ROADPER 12.12.1996

97/00305/FUL TWO STOREY SIDE EXTENSION PER 07.05.1997

02/02623/FULL1 Single storey rear extension for conservatory PER 12.09.2002

13/03358/FULL6 Part one/two storey front and side extension and roof extension incorporating 2 rear dormers with juilet balconies REF 02.12.2013

14/00877/FULL6 Part one/two storey side extension and roof extension incorporating 2 rear dormers with Juliet balconies PER 25.07.2014

14/00877/AMD Non-material amendment: To alter rear dormer and to add refuse storage area to side elevation REFAMD 16.02.2015

Conclusions

The application site was visited by the case officer and the aims and objectives of the above policies, national and regional planning guidance, all other material planning considerations including any objections, other representations and relevant planning history on the site were taken into account in the assessment of the proposal.

The application dwelling occupies a corner site at the junctions of Upper Park Road and Henville Road. The dwelling forms one half of a pair of semis. Although both houses (Nos. 18 and 20) have been extended to incorporate two storey side extensions, the two houses have largely retained their symmetry with the said extensions set back in relation to the frontage and the main roof having retained its hipped ends.

In December 2013 (ref. 13/03358/FULL6) permission was dismissed at appeal for a 'part one/two storey front and side extension and roof extension incorporating 2 rear dormers with Juliet balconies'. In response to that refusal the applicant submitted a revised scheme in 2014 (ref. 14/00877/FULL6) which included the removal of the front bay at ground and first floor, the setting back of the front building line as well as the setting down of the ridgeline and removal of the half gable. This revised proposal was subsequently granted by Members in July 2014.

However, the applicant now seeks an amendment to that approved scheme in order to allow the consolidation of the two approved rear dormers into one larger roof addition and the addition of a small refuse storage chamber to the rear of the property. The proposed rear dormer would sit within the rear roof slope and would be visible from the public realm due to the corner location of the property. However, the principle of a rear dormer was already assessed and deemed acceptable by Members in 2014. Whilst the proposed dormer would be marginally bigger than the previously approved scheme, the overall design, size and proportions of the addition are considered to be in keeping with the application property. Officers noted on site that large rear dormers are visible on neighbouring properties, with an example found at No 22 Upper Park Road. The proposal would not therefore be out of the character with the area in general.

The proposed refuse storage chamber would be located behind the single-storey side extension and would not be visible from the public realm. The chamber would be a modest addition that would have virtually no impact on the character or appearance of the property or streetscene given its size and location.

In all other aspects the proposal remains as previously approved, including a part one/part two storey side extension. Although policy H9 of the UDP normally requires a minimum 1m side space, it is only the relatively modest single storey side element that is within 1m of the boundary and this still would maintain a 0.8m separation. The two-storey side extension would maintain side space of 1.5m from the boundary and this was deemed acceptable by Members within in 2014 application.

In relation to neighbouring amenity the bulk of the side extension would front the public highway and would not result in a visually intrusive form of development. There is already an established degree of overlooking towards the rear garden and the addition of a rear dormer and Juliette balcony would not give rise to a significantly greater level of overlooking or a loss of privacy. As noted above, two rear dormers, which included Juliette balconies, were assessed and deemed

acceptable by Members under the 2014 application. Officers consider that the revised scheme would not result in any greater impact.

Having had regard to the above, Members may consider on balance that the proposal is acceptable in that it would not harm the character and appearance of the host property nor the pair of semi-detached properties and the street scene in general.

Background papers referred to during production of this report comprise all correspondence on the files refs. 15/00923, 14/00877 and 13/03358, as set out in the Planning History section above, excluding exempt information.

Background papers referred to during production of this report comprise all correspondence on the file ref(s) set out in the Planning History section above, excluding exempt information.

RECOMMENDATION: PERMISSION

Subject to the following conditions:

1	ACA01	Commencement of development within 3 yrs
	ACA01R	A01 Reason 3 years
2	ACC04	Matching materials
	ACC04R	Reason C04
3	ACK01	Compliance with submitted plan
	ACK05R	K05 reason